



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/532,693	01/03/2006	Hiroaki Koyama	CSP-115-A	8753
21828 7590 07/15/2008 CARRIER BLACKMAN AND ASSOCIATES 24101 NOVI ROAD SUITE 100 NOVI, MI 48375				
EXAMINER LIN, KUANG Y				
ART UNIT 1793		PAPER NUMBER		
NOTIFICATION DATE 07/15/2008		DELIVERY MODE ELECTRONIC		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

cbalaw@gmail.com
cbalaw@ameritech.net
wblackman@ameritech.net

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/532,693

Applicant(s)

KOYAMA ET AL.

Examiner

Kuang Y. Lin

Art Unit

1793

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Kuang Y. Lin.

(3) _____.

(2) Mr. Petrik.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 07 July 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: claims 1 and 6.

Identification of prior art discussed: JP 2002-060,845 & JP 10-204,610.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The attorney stated that the novelty of instant invention is in that none of the prior art references shows the claimed peening treatment which is performed for a time period ranging from 5 to 10 second. However, it is the position of the examiner that it would have been obvious to obtain the optimal peening time period, which depends on other process parameters, such as particle size, peening pressure etc., through routine experimentation.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Kuang Y. Lin/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1793
Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.